



Construction Law Newsletter



www.bortonpetrini.com

SPRING 2017

Published By

NO GOOD DEED GOES UNPUNISHED

By Cal Stead

Your employee has come to you and asked to take Paid Family Leave to be with his new baby. He says he's entitled to the leave, but you have only 26 employees. Do you have to honor this request?

Paid Family Leave (PFL) is an unfortunately named law, and frequently misunderstood. It is not a leave; it is a wage replacement program.

In the fact pattern above, yes, but--the employee is entitled to *apply* for PFL, but there is no job protection because he doesn't qualify to take the protected leave.

Protected leave is authorized by the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) and California Family Rights Act (CFRA), only for an employer with **50 or more** employees. If an employer has fewer than 50 employees, it is an internal judgment call whether to grant time off. If time off is granted, the employee can apply for PFL. It is processed as payment through the Employment Development Department (EDD) for up to six weeks, and is a partial wage replacement.

Eligible workers can receive up to 55% of their previous weekly earnings. Employees can apply for PFL for other reasons also: to care for a seriously ill family member (child, parent, parent-in-law, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or registered domestic partner) or to bond with a new child as above (including newly fostered and adopted children).

Don't set an internal precedent

Employers need to be aware that if they do grant time off when the employee is not entitled to it by law, the action can set a precedent.

Borton Petrin, LLP
5060 California Avenue
Suite 700
Bakersfield, CA 93309
(661) 322-3051

Editor

Calvin R. Stead
Partner
Bakersfield



cstead@bortonpetrini.com
(661) 322-3051

Join Our Mailing List! Offices & Managing Attorneys

Bakersfield
Diana L. Christian
661-322-3051

Fresno
Bradley A. Post
559-268-0117

Los Angeles
Rosemarie S. Lewis
213-624-2869

Modesto
Bradley A. Post
209-576-1701

Orange County

Often employers grant the time off due to it being a slow time of the year for the business, or other conditions. If these factors can be proven objectively, the danger of precedent might not be a problem. If, however, employers grant the time off simply because they like the employee and want to help him out, the next time someone asks to "take" PFL, it might be required. And if the leave is denied, that employee could claim discrimination.

Subscribe

[Subscribe to the Construction Law Newsletter List](#)

Disclaimer

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THIS UPDATE IS NOT A SUBSTITUTE FOR LEGAL ADVICE. READERS SHOULD BE ADVISED THAT IF THEY HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS OR ANY OTHER AREA OF CONSTRUCTION LAW, THEY SHOULD SEEK THE ADVICE OF COMPETENT COUNSEL SPECIALIZING IN THIS AREA.

BORTON PETRINI, LLP COPYRIGHT APRIL 2017, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED; PERMISSION TO REPRINT GIVEN WITH PROPER ATTRIBUTION OF AUTHORSHIP.

[Rosemarie S. Lewis](#)
562-596-2300

Sacramento
[Bradley A. Post](#)
916-858-1212

San Bernardino
[Daniel L. Ferguson](#)
909-381-0527

San Diego
[Paul Kisse](#)
619-232-2424

San Francisco/San Rafael
[Samuel L. Phillips](#)
415-677-0730

San Jose
[Samuel L. Phillips](#)
408-535-0870